



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

C

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/530,815	07/12/2000	HELMUT LOTH	H3146	3579
423	7590	01/12/2004	EXAMINER	
HENKEL CORPORATION THE TRIAD, SUITE 200 2200 RENAISSANCE BLVD. GULPH MILLS, PA 19406			REDDICK, MARIE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/530,815	LOTH ET AL. <i>[Signature]</i>
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Judy M. Reddick	1713

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
 - (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: 14.

Claim(s) objected to: NONE.

Claim(s) rejected: 6-13 & 15-26.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.

8. The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

Judy M. Reddick
Judy M. Reddick
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1713

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly proposed limitation "adding with mixing components (d) and (e) in any order" per claim 25 2) engenders New Issues that would require further consideration and issues of indefiniteness in that it is not readily ascertainable as to how the optional "water" addition further limits the antecedently recited "component (c)" since component (c) is no longer a part of the component mixture.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons clearly stated in the previous Office Action per 10/07/03.

Firstly, the "sperm oil reaction product" per at least Runs 1-4 is sufficient to meet the "fatty compound" per the claimed invention. In any event, even if this were not the case, Reinhard et al teach that the addition of 0.5 to 10 weight % of compounds such as glycerol, sufficient to meet the claimed "fatty compound" is advantageous because it facilitates the subsequent incorporation of fillers. Secondly, as to the "emulsifier" of Reinhard et al VS. the "plasticizer" per the instantly claimed invention, the discovery of a new property or use for previously known compound cannot impart patentability to claims to that compound, even if the property or use is unobvious from the prior art (In re Schoenwald, 22 USPQ 1671). Lastly, as to the polymer B of Reinhard et al, the claims, in their present form, simply don't preclude such since "comprised of" leaves the claim open for the inclusion of unspecified ingredients even in major amounts (Ex parte Davis, 80 USPQ 448).